# **Planning**

## Planning Team Report

Ballina LEP 2012 - Amendment to allow for dual occupancy development on certain land at Lennox Head

Proposal Title:

Ballina LEP 2012 - Amendment to allow for dual occupancy development on certain land at

Lennox Head

Proposal Summary:

The planning proposal will rezone land from R2 Low Density Residential to R3 Medium Density Residential for certain properties in Kell Mather Drive; and Morton Way at Lennox Head. ("Elevation Estate") This will enable the land that is nominated in the respective development approvals as comprising dual occupancy lots to be considered for dual occupancy

development, with development consent.

The planning proposal also includes the application of a minimum lot size of 800 sqm for

subdivision of the subject land.

PP Number:

PP\_2013\_BALLI\_002\_00

Dop File No:

13/07575

**Proposal Details** 

Date Planning

01-May-2013

LGA covered:

Ballina

Proposal Received:

Region:

Northern

RPA:

**Ballina Shire Council** 

State Electorate:

**BALLINA** 

Section of the Act:

55 - Planning Proposal

LEP Type:

**Spot Rezoning** 

**Location Details** 

Street :

**Kell Mather Drive** 

Suburb :

Lennox Head

City:

Postcode :

2478

Land Parcel:

Lot 182, Lot 183 and Lot 184 DP 1165078 and Lot 168 DP 1166175; and DA Consent No 2008/628

within existing Lot 177 DP 1172682

Street:

**Morton Way** 

Suburb:

Lennox Head

City:

Postcode:

2478

Land Parcel:

DA Consent No 2007/503 within existing Lot 20 DP1121759 and Lot 3 DP253428

### **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details**

Contact Name:

Jennifer Vallis

Contact Number:

0266416606

Contact Email:

jenny.vallis@planning.nsw.gov.au

### **RPA Contact Details**

Contact Name:

**Simon Scott** 

Contact Number:

0266861284

Contact Email:

simons@ballina.nsw.gov.au

### **DoP Project Manager Contact Details**

Contact Name:

James Clark

Contact Number:

0266416604

Contact Email:

jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au

### **Land Release Data**

Growth Centre:

Release Area Name:

Regional / Sub

Far North Coast Regional

Consistent with Strategy:

Yes

Regional Strategy:

Strategy

MDP Number:

Date of Release:

Area of Release (Ha)

Type of Release (eg

Residential /

Employment land):

No. of Lots:

7

No. of Dwellings (where relevant):

7

Gross Floor Area

0

No of Jobs Created

0.

The NSW Government Yes

Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with:

If No, comment:

The Department of Planning Code of Practice in relation to communication and meetings

with lobbyists has been complied with to the best of the Region's knowledge.

Have there been

meetings or

No

communications with registered lobbyists?:

If Yes, comment:

Nothern Region has not met any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has Northern Region been advised of any meeting between other Departmental officers and lobbyists

concerning this proposal.

### Supporting notes

Internal Supporting

Notes:

**External Supporting** 

Notes:

Ballina Shire Council has identified that several allotments with development approval under Ballina LEP 1987 for dual occupancy were not designated as such in the Ballina LEP 2012. As the subject lots were not created at the time the new LEP was made, they were not recognised as being for dual occupancy purposes under the new LEP. This proposal

corrects this by the application of a R3 zone.

### **Adequacy Assessment**

### Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment:

The objectives and intended outcomes of the planning proposal are adequately expressed

for the proposed amendment.

### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment:

The planning proposal provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achieve the objectives and intended outcomes. An expansion of the address to include the street

names may help locating the subject properties and land.

### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

- a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes
- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA:
- \* May need the Director General's agreement

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

- c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006: Yes
- d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?
- e) List any other matters that need to be considered:

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain:

### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment:

Council has provided amendments to Ballina LEP 2012 maps showing R3 land zoning

and amendment to lot size for the subject land.

### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment:

Council has advised that as this is a minor matter, 14 days for public exhibition will be

adequate. This is acceptable.

### **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons:

### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment:

The planning proposal satisfies the adequacy criteria by:

1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;

2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed for the LEP to achieve

the outcomes;

- 3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;
- 4. Outlining that community consultation will be undertaken; and
- 5. Providing a project timeline.

The evaluation criteria form for the delegation of plan making functions has not been completed as Council has advised that it sees no advantage in seeking delegation. However, this is a minor, low impact proposal and Council should be using its delegation for this matter.

### **Proposal Assessment**

### Principal LEP:

Due Date:

Comments in relation to Principal LEP:

Ballina LEP 2012 was published on 25 January 2013. The proposal seeks an amendment to the recently made LEP

### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal:

The need for the planning proposal has arisen as a result of the processing and timing of the Ballina LEP 2012, the subdivision works and lot creation for the subject land having occurred during implementation of the LEP. Council has reported that during the preparation of the comprehensive Ballina LEP existing residential allotments with low density received an R2 Low Density Residential zone and existing duplex and medium density lots received an R3 Medium Density Residential zone.

Council has identified several allotments with development approval in Lennox Head and intended for dual occupancy that have not been designated as such in the Ballina LEP 2012. As the subject lots were not created at the time the land was considered for zoning they were not recognised as being for dual occupancy purposes under the Ballina LEP 2012. The subject land was zoned R2, it is proposed to rezone the land R3 to enable dual occupancy development to occur. It is also proposed to change the minimum lot size for subdivision from 600sqm to 800sqm for consistency with lot size standards applied in similar circumstances in Lennox Head.

The planning proposal is considered the most appropriate means of enabling the dual occupancy to occur on this land.

Even though the planning proposal allows infill development on land already zoned for urban purposes and corrects a zoning anomaly, the proposal is inconsistent with section 117 direction 4.4 requiring consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service. This inconsistency will remain until Council consults with the RFS.

Consistency with strategic planning framework: The planning proposal is consistent with the Far North Coast Regional Strategy as the subject land falls within the Town and village Growth Boundary on the Ballina - Lennox Head Map extract.

Council has advised the proposal is also consistent with the Council's Ballina Shire Growth Management Strategy 2012. Land within proposed urban release areas, for which allotments had not been created, were generally zoned R3 under the new Ballina LEP to allow the subdivision development assessment process to determine the appropriate mixture of low and medium density within these areas. Council considers that the application of the R3 zoning is, therefore, consistent with the planning context for the land. This is acceptable.

Environmental social economic impacts:

Council has advised that due to the minor nature of the proposal, no significant adverse environmental impact and no broad positive or negative social or economic impacts are likely to arise. As the proposal is a local, minor matter on land that is already approved for

residential development the environmental, social and economic impacts have already been considered during this process.

### **Assessment Process**

Proposal type:

Minor

**Community Consultation** 

14 Days

Period:

Timeframe to make

6 Month

Delegation:

**RPA** 

LEP:

Public Authority

**NSW Rural Fire Service** 

Consultation - 56(2)(d)

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?

No

(2)(a) Should the matter proceed?

Yes

If no, provide reasons:

Resubmission - s56(2)(b): No

If Yes, reasons:

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons:

Identify any internal consultations, if required:

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons:

### **Documents**

| DocumentType Name        | Is Public                  |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Proposal Covering Letter | Yes                        |
|                          | 190                        |
| Proposal                 | Yes                        |
| Proposal                 | No                         |
| Мар                      | No                         |
|                          | Proposal Proposal Proposal |

### **Planning Team Recommendation**

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage: Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

Additional Information :

It is recommended that:

- 1) The planning proposal be supported subject to conditions;
- 2) The planning proposal be publicly exhibited for a period of 14 days;
- 3) Council should consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service during community

consultation?;

4) The planning proposal is to be completed within 6 months;

# 5) The Director General (or an officer nominated by the Director General) agree that the inconsistency with S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection remains outstanding and will require justification following consultationm with the NSW Rural Fire Service; 6)An Authorisati and on to exercise delegation to make the plan be issued to the RPA for this planning proposal Supporting Reasons: The reason for the Gateway Determination is to correct an anomaly that occurred during implementation of the Ballina LEP 2012 such that it was not clear that dual occupancy development was pernmissible on the subject land.

Signature:

Signat